– NSTAR 2011 –

# Properties of the A(1405) Measured at CLAS

Kei Moriya (Indiana University) advisor: Reinhard Schumacher (Carnegie Mellon University)

May 19, 2011

# Outline

## INTRODUCTION

- What is the  $\Lambda(1405)$ ?
- Chiral Unitary Theory of the  $\Lambda(1405)$

## 2 CLAS Analysis

- Introduction to JLab and CLAS
- Decay Channels of Interest
- $\Sigma^0(1385)$  and  $K^*$  Background
- Fit to Extract  $\Lambda(1405)$  Lineshape

## 3 Results

- $\Lambda(1405)$  Lineshape Results
- $\Lambda(1405)$  Cross Section Results
- $\Lambda(1520)$  Cross Section Results
- Cross Section Comparison

# **CONCLUSION**

## What is the $\Lambda(1405)$ ?

- \*\*\*\* resonance just below  $N\overline{K}$  threshold ( $\sim 1435~{\rm MeV})$
- $I(J^P) = 0(\frac{1}{2}^{-})$  [experimentally unconfirmed until now]
- Decays exclusively to  $(\Sigma \pi)^0$
- Past experiments have found the **lineshape** (= invariant  $\Sigma \pi$  mass distribution) is distorted from a simple Breit-Wigner form

#### MAIN QUESTION:

What is the nature of this distorted lineshape?

#### The $\Lambda(1405)$ in Hadron Spectroscopy



K. Moriya (IU)

May 2011 4 / 24

#### The $\Lambda(1405)$ in Hadron Spectroscopy



#### The $\Lambda(1405)$ in Hadron Spectroscopy



## The Lineshape of the $\Lambda(1405)$

- Several theories exist on the nature of the distorted lineshape
- All theories agree that there is a strong coupling between the
  - $\Sigma\pi$  channel (below  $N\overline{K}$  threshold)
  - $N\overline{K}$  channel (above  $N\overline{K}$  threshold)
- Various theories:
  - "normal" qqq-baryon resonance (the constituent quark model has difficulty with  $\Lambda(1405)$  mass)
  - unstable bound state of  $N\overline{K}$  (promoted by Dalitz and others)
  - deeply bound state of  $N\overline{K}$
  - $qqqq\overline{q}$
  - dynamically generated resonance in unitary coupled channel approach

## Coupled Channel Chiral Unitary Theory

#### CHIRAL THEORY

Effective chiral Lagrangian describes the interactions of the ground state baryons and mesons.

#### COUPLED CHANNELS

Exact unitarity is enforced amongst the coupled channels

#### · Many predictions on hadrons have been given by E. Oset and others

#### Chiral Unitary Coupled Channel Approach



K. Moriya (IU)

 $\Lambda(1405)$  lineshape

May 2011 7 / 24

## Difference in Lineshape

$$\frac{d\sigma(\pi^{+}\Sigma^{-})}{dM_{I}} \propto \frac{1}{2} |T^{(1)}|^{2} + \frac{1}{3} |T^{(0)}|^{2} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \operatorname{Re}(T^{(0)}T^{(1)*}) + O(T^{(2)})$$
$$\frac{d\sigma(\pi^{-}\Sigma^{+})}{dM_{I}} \propto \frac{1}{2} |T^{(1)}|^{2} + \frac{1}{3} |T^{(0)}|^{2} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \operatorname{Re}(T^{(0)}T^{(1)*}) + O(T^{(2)})$$
$$\frac{d\sigma(\pi^{0}\Sigma^{0})}{dM_{I}} \propto \frac{1}{3} |T^{(0)}|^{2} + O(T^{(2)})$$

J. C. Nacher et al., Nucl. Phys. B455, 55

- Difference in lineshapes is due to interference of isospin terms in calculation  $({\rm T}^{({\rm I})}$  represents amplitude of isospin I term)
- Distortion of the lineshape is connected to underlying QCD amplitudes that generate the  $\Lambda(1405)$
- This analysis will measure all three  $\Sigma\pi$  channels

#### Summary of Current Experimental Status

- Data is sparse
- All experiments show a distortion from a Breit-Wigner
- more data is needed



D. W. Thomas et al., Nucl. Phys. B56, 15 (1973)

#### Summary of Current Experimental Status

- Data is sparse
- All experiments show a distortion from a Breit-Wigner
- more data is needed



R. J. Hemingway, Nucl. Phys. B253, 742 (1985)

#### Summary of Current Experimental Status

- Data is sparse
- All experiments show a distortion from a Breit-Wigner
- more data is needed



Niiyama et al., Phys. Rev. C78, 035202 (2008)

Μ.

# Outline

#### Introduction

- What is the  $\Lambda(1405)$ ?
- Chiral Unitary Theory of the  $\Lambda(1405)$

## 2 CLAS ANALYSIS

- Introduction to JLab and CLAS
- Decay Channels of Interest
- $\Sigma^0(1385)$  and  $K^*$  Background
- Fit to Extract  $\Lambda(1405)$  Lineshape

#### Results

- $\Lambda(1405)$  Lineshape Results
- $\Lambda(1405)$  Cross Section Results
- $\Lambda(1520)$  Cross Section Results
- Cross Section Comparison

## Conclusion

## JLab and CLAS

- Jefferson Lab (JLab) located in Newport News, VA
- CEBAF (Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility) gives 2 ns timing electron beam up to 6 GeV
- Halls A, B, C (+ D: upcoming)
- Hall B = CLAS (CEBAF Large Acceptance Spectrometer) collaboration



- CLAS@Jefferson Lab
- $\bullet \ \ \text{liquid LH}_2 \ \text{target} \\$
- $\gamma + p \rightarrow K^+ + \Lambda(1405)$



- CLAS@Jefferson Lab
- liquid LH<sub>2</sub> target
- $\gamma + p \rightarrow K^+ + \Lambda(1405)$
- real unpolarized photon beam
- $E_{\gamma} < 3.84 \text{ GeV}$
- $\sim 20 {\rm B}$  total triggers



- CLAS@Jefferson Lab
- liquid LH<sub>2</sub> target
- $\gamma + p \rightarrow K^+ + \Lambda(1405)$
- real unpolarized photon beam
- $E_{\gamma} < 3.84 \text{ GeV}$
- $\sim 20 {\rm B}$  total triggers
- measure charged particle
  - ► **p** with drift chambers



- CLAS@Jefferson Lab
- liquid LH<sub>2</sub> target
- $\gamma + p \rightarrow K^+ + \Lambda(1405)$
- real unpolarized photon beam
- $E_{\gamma} < 3.84 \text{ GeV}$
- $\sim 20 {\rm B}$  total triggers
- measure charged particle
  - $\vec{\mathbf{p}}$  with drift chambers
  - timing with TOF walls



#### REACTION OF INTEREST

 $\gamma + p \rightarrow K^+ + \Lambda(1405) \rightarrow K^+ + \Sigma + \pi$ 

- Final state of interest is  $K^+, \Sigma, \pi$
- $\Sigma\pi$  resonances:  $\Sigma(1385)$ ,  $\Lambda(1405)$ ,  $\Lambda(1520)$
- $K^+\pi$  resonance:  $K^*$  when  $\pi = \pi^+$  or  $\pi^0$
- besides the  $K^+\Sigma\pi$  state, we will also detect the  $K^+\Lambda\pi$  state
  - Resonance of  $\Lambda \pi$  will be  $\Sigma(1385)$
  - Resonance of  $K^+\pi$  will be  $K^*$
- Background channels:
  - $\Sigma(1385)$  close in mass, large width (~ 35 MeV)
  - $K^*$  overlap in 3-body phase space plot of  $K^+, \Sigma, \pi$

## **Background Channels**

- $\Sigma^0(1385) \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$   $BR(\Lambda \pi^0) = 87\% \gg BR(\Sigma^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}) = 6\%$  each
  - $\Rightarrow$  measure in  $\Lambda \pi^0$ , scale down to each  $\Sigma \pi$  channel
- influence should be small due to branching ratio •  $K^*\Sigma$ 
  - broad width will overlap with signal
  - subtract off incoherently



low energy bin

## **Background Channels**

- $\Sigma^0(1385) \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$   $BR(\Lambda \pi^0) = 87\% \gg BR(\Sigma^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}) = 6\%$  each
  - $\Rightarrow$  measure in  $\Lambda \pi^0$ , scale down to each  $\Sigma \pi$  channel
- influence should be small due to branching ratio •  $K^*\Sigma$ 
  - broad width will overlap with signal
  - subtract off incoherently



high energy bin



example: 1 energy and angle bin out of  $\sim 150$ 

- $\Sigma(1385)$  is fit with templates of MC of
  - $\Sigma(1385)$  (non-relativistic Breit-Wigner)
  - $K^{*+}\Lambda$  MC
- very good fit results



example: 1 energy and angle bin out of  $\sim 150$ 

- $\Sigma(1385)$  is fit with templates of MC of
  - $\Sigma(1385)$  (non-relativistic Breit-Wigner)
  - $K^{*+}\Lambda$  MC
- very good fit results



example: 1 energy and angle bin out of  $\sim 150$ 

- $\Sigma(1385)$  is fit with templates of MC of
  - $\Sigma(1385)$  (non-relativistic Breit-Wigner)
  - $K^{*+}\Lambda$  MC
- very good fit results



example: 1 energy and angle bin out of  $\sim 150$ 

- $\Sigma(1385)$  is fit with templates of MC of
  - $\Sigma(1385)$  (non-relativistic Breit-Wigner)
  - $K^{*+}\Lambda$  MC
- very good fit results



- subtract off  $\Sigma(1385)$ ,  $\Lambda(1520)$ ,  $K^{*0}$
- assigned the remaining contribution to the  $\Lambda(1405)$

K. Moriya (IU)



- subtract off  $\Sigma(1385)$ ,  $\Lambda(1520)$ ,  $\mathbf{K^{*0}}$
- assigned the remaining contribution to the  $\Lambda(1405)$

K. Moriya (IU)



- subtract off  $\Sigma(1385)$ ,  $\Lambda(1520)$ ,  $\mathbf{K^{*0}}$
- assigned the remaining contribution to the  $\Lambda(1405)$

K. Moriya (IU)



- subtract off  $\Sigma(1385)$ ,  $\Lambda(1520)$ ,  $K^{*0}$
- assigned the remaining contribution to the  $\Lambda(1405)$

K. Moriya (IU)



- subtract off  $\Sigma(1385)$ ,  $\Lambda(1520)$ ,  $\mathbf{K^{*0}}$
- assigned the remaining contribution to the  $\Lambda(1405)$

K. Moriya (IU)



- subtract off  $\Sigma(1385)$ ,  $\Lambda(1520)$ ,  $\mathbf{K^{*0}}$
- assigned the remaining contribution to the  $\Lambda(1405)$

K. Moriya (IU)



- subtract off  $\Sigma(1385)$ ,  $\Lambda(1520)$ ,  $\mathbf{K^{*0}}$
- assigned the remaining contribution to the  $\Lambda(1405)$

K. Moriya (IU)



- subtract off  $\Sigma(1385)$ ,  $\Lambda(1520)$ ,  $\mathbf{K^{*0}}$
- assigned the remaining contribution to the  $\Lambda(1405)$

K. Moriya (IU)

# Outline

- Introduction
  - What is the  $\Lambda(1405)$ ?
  - Chiral Unitary Theory of the  $\Lambda(1405)$
- 2 CLAS Analysis
  - Introduction to JLab and CLAS
  - Decay Channels of Interest
  - $\Sigma^0(1385)$  and  $K^*$  Background
  - Fit to Extract  $\Lambda(1405)$  Lineshape

## **B** RESULTS

- $\Lambda(1405)$  Lineshape Results
- $\Lambda(1405)$  Cross Section Results
- $\Lambda(1520)$  Cross Section Results
- Cross Section Comparison

## Conclusion

**Results of Lineshape** 



- lineshapes do appear different for each  $\Sigma\pi$  decay mode
- $\Sigma^+\pi^-$  decay mode has peak at highest mass, narrow than  $\Sigma^-\pi^+$
- lineshapes are summed over acceptance region of CLAS
- difference is less prominent at higher energies

dσ/dM [μb/GeV]
### **Results of Lineshape**



- lineshapes do appear different for each  $\Sigma\pi$  decay mode
- $\Sigma^+\pi^-$  decay mode has peak at highest mass, narrow than  $\Sigma^-\pi^+$
- lineshapes are summed over acceptance region of CLAS
- difference is less prominent at higher energies

K. Moriya (IU)

 $\Lambda(1405)$  lineshape

### **Results of Lineshape**



- lineshapes do appear different for each  $\Sigma\pi$  decay mode
- $\Sigma^+\pi^-$  decay mode has peak at highest mass, narrow than  $\Sigma^-\pi^+$
- lineshapes are summed over acceptance region of CLAS
- difference is less prominent at higher energies

## Theory Prediction From Chiral Unitary Approach



J. C. Nacher et al., Nucl. Phys. B455, 55

- $\Sigma^{-}\pi^{+}$  decay mode peaks at highest mass, most narrow
- difference in lineshapes is due to interference of isospin terms in calculation ( $T^{(I)}$  represents amplitude of isospin I term)
- we have started trying fits to the resonance amplitudes

### $\Lambda(1405)$ Differential Cross Section Results



- lines are fits with  $6^{rd}$  order Legendre polynomials
- clear turnover of  $\Sigma^+\pi^-$  channel at forward angles
- theory: contact term only, no angular dependence for interference
- experiment: able to see strong isospin AND angular interference effect

### $\Lambda(1405)$ Differential Cross Section Results



- lines are fits with 6<sup>rd</sup> order Legendre polynomials
- clear turnover of  $\Sigma^+\pi^-$  channel at forward angles
- theory: contact term only, no angular dependence for interference
- experiment: able to see strong isospin AND angular interference effect

### $\Lambda(1405)$ Differential Cross Section Results



- lines are fits with  $6^{rd}$  order Legendre polynomials
- clear turnover of  $\Sigma^+\pi^-$  channel at forward angles
- theory: contact term only, no angular dependence for interference
- experiment: able to see strong isospin AND angular interference effect

### $\Lambda(1520)$ Differential Cross Section Comparison



• binning is in  $t - t_{\min}$ 

• good agreement with  $\mathrm{p}K^-$  channel from CLAS (unpublished)

- data provided by R. de Vita et al. (INFN Genova)

K. Moriya (IU)

 $\Lambda(1405)$  lineshape

### **Extrapolation of Cross Sections**

- Ad hoc functions were chosen to fit the measured cross sections
- total cross section  $\sigma_{\rm tot}$  depends strongly on how cross section is extrapolated
- final result is a statistical mean of the various fit functions used



K. Moriya (IU)

### **Extrapolation of Cross Sections**

- Ad hoc functions were chosen to fit the measured cross sections
- total cross section  $\sigma_{\rm tot}$  depends strongly on how cross section is extrapolated
- final result is a statistical mean of the various fit functions used



K. Moriya (IU)

#### **Extrapolation of Cross Sections**

- Ad hoc functions were chosen to fit the measured cross sections
- total cross section  $\sigma_{\rm tot}$  depends strongly on how cross section is extrapolated
- final result is a statistical mean of the various fit functions used



K. Moriya (IU)

final result is a statistical mean of the various fit functions used

•  $\Sigma(1385)$ 



• final result is a statistical mean of the various fit functions used

•  $\Lambda(1405)$ 



• final result is a statistical mean of the various fit functions used

•  $\Lambda(1520)$ 



- final result is a statistical mean of the various fit functions used
- comparison of  $\Sigma(1385)$ ,  $\Lambda(1405)$ ,  $\Lambda(1520)$



## Conclusion

- Most precise measurement of  $\Lambda(1405)$  for any reaction
- Strong hints of "dynamical" nature
- Difference in lineshapes observed
  - Strong effects of *both* isospin I = 0 and I = 1
  - Hints of dynamical nature of  $\Lambda(1405)$ ?
  - Shifts in opposite direction compared to theory
- Difference in  $d\sigma/d\cos\theta_{K^+}^{\mathrm{c.m.}}$  behavior observed
  - Again, effects of *both* isospin I = 0 and I = 1
  - Cross sections for  $\Sigma(1385)$  and  $\Lambda(1520)$  also measured

### • Spin and parity experimentally determined for first time

• 
$$J^P = \frac{1}{2}$$

- $\blacktriangleright$  Polarization at forward  $K^+$  angles, higher energies  $W\sim 2.5\mathchar`-2.8~{\rm GeV}$  is  $\sim 40\%$
- ▶ Falloff of lineshape at  $N\overline{K}$  threshold also supports  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^{-1}$

### Fit to Lineshape With MC Templates



- subtract off  $\Sigma(1385)$ ,  $\Lambda(1520)$ ,  $K^+\Sigma^-\pi^+$  phase space
- assigned the remaining contribution to the  $\Lambda(1405)$

K. Moriya (IU)

 $\Lambda(1405)$  lineshape

## $M(\Sigma^{-}\pi^{+})$ vs $M(K^{+}\pi^{+})$ Plots



low energy bin acceptance is good over entire area

## $M(\Sigma^{-}\pi^{+})$ vs $M(K^{+}\pi^{+})$ Plots



acceptance is good over entire area

### Effect of $K^*$ on Lineshape

 $1.950 < W \,[\text{GeV}] < 2.050$  (below  $K^*$  threshold)



 $K^*$  vs  $Y^*$  mass plot for  $\Sigma^+$ channel

K. Moriya (IU)

 $\Lambda(1405)$  lineshape

#### Effect of $K^*$ on Lineshape

 $1.950 < W \,[\text{GeV}] < 2.050$  (below  $K^*$  threshold)



## extracted lineshape

 $\Lambda(1405)$  Lineshape

#### Comparison of Lineshapes for Two $\Sigma^+$ Channels



#### Comparison of Lineshapes for Two $\Sigma^+$ Channels



#### Comparison of Lineshapes for Two $\Sigma^+$ Channels



### $\Lambda(1405)$ Comparison of Two $\Sigma^+$ Channels



### $\Lambda(1405)$ Comparison of Two $\Sigma^+$ Channels



### $\Lambda(1405)$ Comparison of Two $\Sigma^+$ Channels



#### $\Lambda(1520)$ Comparison of Two $\Sigma^+$ Channels



#### $\Lambda(1520)$ Comparison of Two $\Sigma^+$ Channels



#### $\Lambda(1520)$ Comparison of Two $\Sigma^+$ Channels









# $\mathbf{J^P}$ of $\Lambda(1405)$

no previous direct experimental evidence for the spin and parity (PDG assumes  $1/2^-$ ) "Note on the  $\Lambda(1405)$ " 1998 PDG, R.H. Dalitz

How do we measure these quantities?

- spin measure distribution into  $\Sigma \pi$ 
  - ▶ flat distribution is best evidence possible for J = 1/2
- parity measure polarization of  $\Sigma$  from  $\Lambda(1405)$ 
  - Polarization direction as a function of Σ decay angle will be determined by J<sup>P</sup> of Λ(1405)



### **Determination of Spin**

Fit to  $\Sigma\pi$  distribution is FLAT 300 0.8 0.6 2500.4 •.0 200/ndf from average: 1 0.2  $\cos \theta_v$ 150 -0.2 100 -0.4 -0.6 50 -0.8 0 -1' -3 -2 2 3 -1 0  $\phi_{_{Y}}$ 

- consistent with  $J=1/2\,$ 

• fits to higher moments may be necessary

#### s-wave, p-wave Scenario





 $\begin{array}{l} \Lambda(1405) \rightarrow \Sigma \pi \text{ is } s\text{-wave} \\ \Leftrightarrow J^P = 1/2^- \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \Lambda(1405) \rightarrow \Sigma \pi \text{ is } p\text{-wave} \\ \Leftrightarrow J^P = 1/2^+ \end{array}$ 

### **Determination of Parity**

polarization of  $\Lambda(1405)$  in direction  $\perp$  to production plane is measured

- W = 2.6 GeV
- forward  $K^+$ angles
- use reaction:  $\Lambda(1405) \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \pi^-$ ,  $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p\pi^0$
- very large hyperon decay parameter  $\alpha = -0.98$
- background is  $\sim 10\%$   $\Sigma(1385)$



### **Determination of Parity**

polarization of  $\Lambda(1405)$  in direction  $\perp$  to production plane is measured

- W = 2.6 GeV
- forward  $K^+$ angles
- use reaction:  $\Lambda(1405) \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \pi^-$ ,  $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p\pi^0$
- very large hyperon decay parameter  $\alpha = -0.98$
- background is  $\sim 10\%$  $\Sigma(1385)$



### **Determination of Parity**

polarization of  $\Lambda(1405)$  in direction  $\perp$  to production plane is measured

- W = 2.6 GeV
- forward  $K^+$ angles
- use reaction:  $\begin{array}{l} \Lambda(1405) \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \pi^-, \\ \Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \pi^0 \end{array}$
- very large hyperon decay parameter  $\alpha=-0.98$
- background is  $\sim 10\%$  $\Sigma(1385)$


polarization of  $\Lambda(1405)$  in direction  $\perp$  to production plane is measured

- W = 2.6 GeV
- forward  $K^+$ angles
- use reaction:  $\begin{array}{l} \Lambda(1405) \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \pi^- \text{,} \\ \Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \pi^0 \end{array}$
- very large hyperon decay parameter  $\alpha=-0.98$





polarization does not change with  $\Sigma^+$  angle  $(\theta_{\Sigma^+})$ 

polarization of  $\Lambda(1405)$  in direction  $\perp$  to production plane is measured

- W = 2.6 GeV
- forward  $K^+$ angles
- use reaction:  $\begin{array}{l} \Lambda(1405) \rightarrow \Sigma^+\pi^-\text{,} \\ \Sigma^+ \rightarrow p\pi^0 \end{array}$
- very large hyperon decay parameter  $\alpha=-0.98$
- background is  $\sim 10\%$  $\Sigma(1385)$



polarization does not change with  $\Sigma^+$  angle  $(\theta_{\Sigma^+})$ 

 $\Rightarrow J^P = 1/2^-$  is confirmed

polarization of  $\Lambda(1405)$  in direction  $\perp$  to production plane is measured

- W = 2.6 GeV
- forward  $K^+$ angles
- use reaction:  $\begin{array}{l} \Lambda(1405) \rightarrow \Sigma^+\pi^-\text{,} \\ \Sigma^+ \rightarrow p\pi^0 \end{array}$
- very large hyperon decay parameter  $\alpha=-0.98$
- background is  $\sim 10\%$  $\Sigma(1385)$



polarization does not change with  $\Sigma^+$  angle  $(\theta_{\Sigma^+})$ 

 $\Rightarrow J^P = 1/2^- \text{ is confirmed}$ 

furthermore, this measured  $\Sigma^+$  polarization is the  $\Lambda(1405)$  polarization

polarization of  $\Lambda(1405)$  in direction  $\perp$  to production plane is measured

- W = 2.6 GeV
- forward  $K^+$ angles
- use reaction:  $\begin{array}{l} \Lambda(1405) \rightarrow \Sigma^+\pi^-\text{,} \\ \Sigma^+ \rightarrow p\pi^0 \end{array}$
- very large hyperon decay parameter  $\alpha=-0.98$
- background is  $\sim 10\%$  $\Sigma(1385)$



polarization does not change with  $\Sigma^+$  angle  $(\theta_{\Sigma^+})$ 

 $\Rightarrow J^P = 1/2^- \text{ is confirmed}$ 

furthermore, this measured  $\Sigma^+$  polarization is the  $\Lambda(1405)$  polarization

 $\Rightarrow \Lambda(1405)$  is produced with  $\sim +40\%$  polarization

K. Moriya (IU)

 $\Lambda(1405)$  lineshape